

Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice of permits issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles E. Myers, Permit Office, Division of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, Washington, DC 20550.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 19, 1991, the National Science Foundation published notice in the *Federal Register* of permit applications received. Permits were issued to the following individuals on July 24, 1991:

Wayne Trivelpiece, G.A. McFeters, and Deneb Karentz.

Charles E. Myers,

Permit Office, Division of Polar Programs.
[FR Doc. 91-18144 Filed 7-30-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Regulatory Guide; Withdrawal

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff is withdrawing Regulatory Guide 1.2 (Safety Guide 2), "Thermal Shock to Reactor Pressure Vessels." This guide has been superseded by § 50.61, "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," which is in 10 CFR part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," and by Regulatory Guide 1.154, "Format and Content of Plant-Specific Pressurized Thermal Shock Safety Analysis Reports for Pressurized Water Reactors." Section 50.61 establishes screening criteria that effectively limit the extent of irradiation embrittlement permitted for the reactor pressure vessel materials. The pressurized thermal shock requirements are sufficient to address the thermal shock concern.

The withdrawal of Regulatory Guide 1.2 does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments based on its use. Copies of this guide will continue to be available for inspection or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC.

Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when they are superseded by the Commission's regulations, when equivalent recommendations have been incorporated in applicable approved codes and standards, or when changes in methods and techniques or in the need for specific guidance have made them obsolete.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 1st day of July 1991.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Eric S. Beckford,
Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

Draft Regulatory Guide; Withdrawal

The NRC staff is withdrawing from all consideration Task SG 301-4, "Standard Format and Content Guide for Access Authorization Plans for Nuclear Power Plants," which was issued for public comment in September 1984 as a draft regulatory guide proposed for Division 5, "Materials and Plant Protection."

The access authorization plans proposed in this draft guide are no longer under consideration. Therefore, the NRC staff will not proceed with development of Task SG 301-4.

The Regulatory Guide Series was developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of June 1991.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bill M. Morris,

Director, Division of Regulatory Applications,
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 91-18102 Filed 7-30-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Regulatory Guides; Withdrawal

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff is withdrawing six regulatory guides because they have become obsolete. The following guides are being withdrawn:

- 1.58 Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Inspection, Examination, and Testing Personnel (Revision 1, September 1980), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.6-1978, "Qualifications of Inspection, Examination, and Testing Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants"
- 1.64 Quality Assurance Requirements for the Design of Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 2, June 1976), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.11-1974, "Quality Assurance Requirements for the Design of Nuclear Power Plants"
- 1.68 Collection, Storage, and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plant Quality Assurance Records (Revision 2, October 1976), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.9-1974, "Requirements for Collection, Storage, and Maintenance of Quality Assurance Records for Nuclear Power Plants"

1.123 Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, July 1977), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.13-1976, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants"

1.144 Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, September 1980), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.12-1977, "Requirements for Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for Nuclear Power Plants"

1.146 Qualification of Quality Assurance Program Audit Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants (August 1980), which endorsed ANSI N45.2.23-1978, "Qualification of Quality Assurance Program Audit Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants"

These regulatory guides are being withdrawn because they have become obsolete. The ANSI standards endorsed by these guides were incorporated into ANSI/ASME NQA-1-1983, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities." ANSI/ASME NQA-1-1983 was endorsed by Revision 3 of Regulatory Guide 1.28, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Design and Construction)," which was issued in August 1985.

The withdrawal of these regulatory guides does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments based on their use. Copies of these guides will continue to be available for inspection or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC.

Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when they are superseded by the Commission's regulations, when equivalent recommendations have been incorporated in applicable approved codes and standards, or when changes in methods and techniques or in the need for specific guidance have made them obsolete.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 17th day of June 1991.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric S. Beckford,

Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 91-18103 Filed 7-30-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-213]

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co.; Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-81, and issued to Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (CYAPCO/ licensee), for operation of the Haddam Neck Plant located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.

During the upcoming refueling outage, CYAPCO will begin to use Zircaloy-clad fuel. The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications to reflect the conversion to a Zircaloy-clad fuel assembly design and the prohibition of three loop operation for Modes 1 and 2.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

By August 30, 1991, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for

leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-800-325-6000 (in Missouri 1-800-342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to John F. Stolz: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Gerald Garfield, Esquire, Day, Berry & Howard, Counselors at Law, City Place, Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499, attorney for licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the

Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendments after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 27, 1991, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the Russell Library, 123 Broad Street, Middletown, Connecticut 06457.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 25th day of July 1991.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Alexander W. Dromerick,
*Acting Director, Project Directorate I-4,
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 91-18099 Filed 7-30-91; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-333]

Power Authority of the State of New York (James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant); Exemption

I

The Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY/licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-59, which authorizes operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (the facility). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility is a boiling water reactor located at the licensee's site in Oswego County, New York.

II

10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) requires that licensees submit a revision of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) no less frequently than annually that reflects all changes up to a maximum of 6 months prior to the date of filing. This regulation would require the submittal of the update of the FitzPatrick FSAR by July 22, 1991.